

# What Should Israel Do With Its Natural Gas?

Yossie Hollander

# Recommendations

- Do not allow any export until all local and regional uses have been implemented (up to 30 BCM)
- Replace most oil imports with Natural Gas (“NG”) and its derivatives
- Reexamine the level of use of NG in the electricity sector
- Reorganize the water sector using NG resources

# Electricity Market, 2010

Fuel	2010 cost	Electricity Generated	Relative Cost	Replace oil with gas	All gas	All coal
	(million NIS)	(million kWh)	NIS/kWh	(million NIS)		
<b>Coal</b>	3,116	34,243	0.09	3,116	-	5,105
<b>Natural Gas</b>	2,874	20,527	0.14	3,060	7,855	-
<b>Diesel</b>	1,098	840	1.31	-	-	-
<b>Fuel oil</b>	236	492	0.48	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>7,324</b>	<b>56,102</b>		<b>6,177</b>	<b>7,855</b>	<b>5,105</b>
<b>Savings</b>				<b>1,147</b>	<b>(531)</b>	<b>2,219</b>

# Electricity Market

- ❑ **Replacing the remaining oil use with Natural Gas will save Israel over 1 billion IS a year**
- ❑ **Reexamine the level of use of NG in the electricity sector**
  - **NG direct cost is higher than coal (up to 5 billion NIS a year in the next decade)**
  - **Limitations on long term storage, especially given the security situation**
- ❑ **Maintain dual fuel capability throughout most of the generation capacity**

# Export?

- Israeli NG will be among the most expensive in the world
- The market trend for NG prices is downwards
- Competition with- pipes to Europe; shale from Poland; cheap NG from the Gulf and Australia
- Negligible added value to the Israeli economy. The benefits from alternative domestic use are much greater.

# The Water Market

- The cost of water from the National Water Carrier is (probably) greater than desalination costs (at least 4% of electricity consumption)**
- Review the pumping level of the National Water Carrier and replace it with desalination by NG**
- The surplus water in the “Kineret” could be exported (for \$) to Jordan using the existing infrastructure**

# Oil for Transportation

- ❑ In 2010 Israel (+PA) imported \$8.6 billion (\$7.2 net), more than 10% of the state budget - \$4 billion of it to gasoline and diesel for transportation
- ❑ Replacing gasoline and diesel in land transportation by NG and its derivatives in 2010 represents NG cost of \$1.4 billion (7.2 BCM) – savings of \$2.65 billion a year
- ❑ In 2025, the cost of oil for land transportation could reach \$10.3 billion
- ❑ The savings in 2025 could reach \$8.47 billion

# Natural Gas-Based Replacements for Oil

- ❑ **CNG – Compressed Natural Gas – implemented in many countries, particularly public transportation. The NG is cheap – the tanks are expensive and so is the conversion.**
- ❑ **Gas To Liquid – Old and new technologies. Used around the world. Expensive to produce, but cheaper than oil.  
Advantage – no infrastructure changes.  
Disadvantage – does not create competition.**
- ❑ **Methanol – the cheapest liquid fuel. Used in China. A successful experiment in California in the '80s and '90s.  
Cheap conversion of existing vehicles while maintaining fuel duality (gasoline and methanol)**

# Oil in Transportation Summary

- ❑ The annual cost difference (\$2.7 billion to \$8.5 billion) creates a huge margin for increasing Natural Gas profitability and investing in the required infrastructure
- ❑ The government should open the transportation market for competition in order to create certainty for investors
- ❑ The result will be growth of GDP, an increase in available income and a rise in standard of living
- ❑ Replacing oil in Israel's transportation aligns with the government strategic program to encourage an Oil Alternatives technology industry

# Recommendations

- Do not allow any export until all local and regional uses have been implemented (up to 30 BCM)
- Replace most oil imports with Natural Gas (“NG”) and its derivatives
- Reexamine the level of use of NG in the electricity sector
- Reorganize the water sector using NG resources